

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,081	06/14/2001	Takashi Nakamura	010617	3808
23850	7590 02/26/2003			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725'K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

شمز

		_			- Q(
`	•	<del></del>	Application No.	Applica	ant(s)
•		09/880,081	NAKAN	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Offic	Action Summary	Examin r	Art Uni	t
			Lenard A. Footland	3682	
		LING DATE of this communication	n app ars on the cover shee	et with the correspon	ndence address
THE - Exterest after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any rearms	ORTENED MAILING Insions of time r SIX (6) MONT be period for repl period for r	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FORTE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CHS from the mailing date of this communication by specified above is less than thirty (30) days by its specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. , a reply within the statutory minimum operiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becomailing date of this communication, even	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be cor MONTHS from the mailing ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.0	nsidered timely. date of this communication. C. § 133).
1)⊠	Respons	sive to communication(s) filed or —			
2a)⊠		•	This action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since thi closed in ion of Clai	is application is in condition for an accordance with the practice units.	allowance except for formal inder <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1939	matters, prosecution 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	on as to the ments is
-			ation.		
تصار ۱۰		above claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are w		n.	
5)□		is/are allowed.			
<u> </u>		1-4, 7 is/are rejected.			
·		5 and 6 is/are objected to.			
•		are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	<b>.</b>	
•	ion Paper		·		
9)[	The specif	fication is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)		ng(s) filed on is/are: a)□			
		t may not request that any objection			
11)		sed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by t	he Examiner.
		ed, corrected drawings are required			•
,		or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.		
-		J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0440()(1)	(0
•		edgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(T).
a)		☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	_	rtified copies of the priority docu			
		rtified copies of the priority docu			
* (		pies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).	; National Stage
14)[]	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a բ	provisional application).
		ranslation of the foreign langua Igment is made of a claim for do			121.
Attachmer		*			
2) Noti	ce of Draftspe	ices Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-41 ce of Informal Patent Ap r:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig's. 2-4 remains. Claims 8-9 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected species, not all claims depending upon or otherwise including the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2 recitation of "said third member ... engaged ... by a supporter ... on ... the ... inner ring or a supporter ... on ... [the] outer ring" is a confusing apparent commingling of structure of different embodiments. In claim 4, "rollers" is not consistent with disclosed balls.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

<sup>(</sup>a) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3682

Claims 1, 7, (and claims 4 and 7 to the extent definite), are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by Dickinson. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 2.

This application contains claims drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (37 C.F.R. § 1.144) M.P.E.P. § 821.01. Failing this, an application otherwise ready for allowance will be taken to have authorization to have such claims canceled by examiner's amendment.

Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Dickinson's integral supporting part protruding is the portion near reference 32 of Fig. 2.

In response to Applicant's argument that the reference does not include certain features of Applicant's invention, the limitations on which the Applicant relies are not stated in the claims.

Art Unit: 3682

Therefore, it is irrelevant whether the reference includes those features or not.

In response to Applicant's arguments, 37 CFR § 1.111(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections." In this case, applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty and failed to show how the amendment avoids the combination of references applied against the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY

Art Unit: 3682

PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Lenard A. Footland

Twand A Foothand

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf February 24, 2003